

United States Patent and Trademark Office

4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,498	08/28/2003	Angelo J. Suitor	58811US002	6967	
32692 3M INNOVA	7590 01/14/2008 FIVE PROPERTIES COM	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 33427			LAMB, BRENDA A		
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/14/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/650,498	SUITOR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
1	' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief		Art Unit	[
Defore the filling of all Appear Bile.	Examiner		
	Brenda A. Lamb	1792	<u> </u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILÈD <u>13 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo g date of the final rejection.	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
 (c)			the issues for
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi ovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 4-8, 17, 18 and 20-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
		Brenda A Lamb Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1792	

Application No. 10/650,498

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly claimed limitation in claims 1 and 17 that the coating solution is flowable back and forth between and into both the coating chamber and deformable coating solution supply container presents new issues which would require further considerations and/or searches . .

BRENDA A. LAME PRIMARY EXAMINER